

Submission from: Rodney Cameron-Tucker

Stewart,

I have read the Part A paper.

I have a few initial questions that may be answered informally.

I cannot see how a licensee can ensure security of supply if the review excludes water collected and used for electricity generation and for irrigation. This means that there are three infrastructure plans for water to supply three differing uses even though any water should be of a quality to be made drinkable. If there is no ironclad security of supply then how can a licence be issued and a price set. Quality and guaranteed supply of drinking water are our health issue.

I am not sure if there is one state licence or each regional licence has the same price attached to it. If the same price how does one serve the same quality and security of water to rural and urban communities equally. If one price then full cost recovery means that one class of customer subsidises another thereby undermining the regulatory principle of efficient market operation.

The AMA needs to be guaranteed that catchment management legislation defines the catchment for surface and ground water and precludes the introduction of pesticides, herbicides etc that are currently being found in water tested by the DPIW. All testing results should be publically available on the internet.

Rodney Cameron-Tucker, MBA, BA, FAICD
Australian Medical Association - Tasmania
147 Davey Street, Hobart, Tasmania 7000
Tel +61 3 6223 2047
Fax +61 3 6223 6469
www.amatas.com.au