

11c Churchill Crescent
Launceston TSSO.

Ministerial Water and Sewage Taskforce,
Response to Preliminary Position Paper
G.P.O. Box 147,
HOBART 7000

Container No:	D18696
Sub Folder No:	
Record No:	08/14842
Reply Due by:	
14 JAN 2008	
Secretary	
Deputy Secretary	
Branch Head	GS.
Preparing Officer	KE KE
Response Record No:	

Dear Sir,
Re Saha Report - Part A.

Water and sewage should be left in control of local Government rather than State Government as at least this way there would still be contact with the controlling body.

Water + sewage are proving difficult to maintain in some areas but why should efficient suppliers subsidize areas that have failed to keep up with infrastructure? In the report reference was made that Tasmania is 10 years behind other states, but if other states are achieving such good results how has the Murray/Darling disaster happened?

--- "public health and environmental protection standards seem to be appropriate and will not be subject to review." At this very moment Ross and Lilydale, to name 2 towns have to boil water to drink, so one wonders how accurate are some aspects of this report.

There are many regulations proposed in the report which would prove costly to enforce which in turn would lead to less efficiency.

The Treasury has said that councils do not have the option to carry on their operations as they do at present so does this mean that the Government has already decided ~~what~~ how water + sewage will be controlled.

Yours faithfully
(Mrs) P. Wellington